

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,987	08/29/2003	Robert J. Allen	BUR920030092US1	1986
28211	7590 03/24/2005		EXAM	INER
FREDERICK W. GIBB, III			WILCZEWSKI, MARY A	
MCGINN &	GIBB, PLLC			
2568-A RIVA ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 304			2822	
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21401			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/604,987	ALLEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	M. Wilczewski	2822
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICAT	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. l) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ai	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		•
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 2004</u>	⊈is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje	ected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(á).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
	documents have been received.	Novello de la Nación
2. Certified copies of the priority		
3. Copies of the certified copies		received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office actio	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received
See the attached detailed Office actio	THO A list of the certified copies hot	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/29/03, 9/15/03.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-27 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-27 of copending Application No. 10/604,962. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-27 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-27 of copending Application No. 10/604,963. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references disclose the use of redundant vias in the manufacture of integrated circuits.

Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Wilczewski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1849. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Wilczewski Primary Examiner Tech Center 2800